UAI Rebuttal Oliver

Reviewer 5:

Paper should be cited, detracts from novelty. Work was done independently, we focused on hockey. On the other hand, shows that we are on the right track. Also, similarity is only at a high level, lots of novely in the details. Finally, different community/terminology/techniques, lots of value in bringing this application to the UAI community.

There seems to be a misunderstanding.

Reviewer 3: Very engaged. Great ideas: reconcile prediction and player ranking. Holy grail of sports analytics (see Sports Data Mining book). UAI community can help out, there are substantive research questions here. First step, not last step.

Reviewer 1: